arXiv:1609.06947v1 [math.NT] 22 Sep 2016

DISTRIBUTION RESULTS ON POLYNOMIALS WITH BOUNDED ROOTS

PETER KIRSCHENHOFER AND JÖRG THUSWALDNER

* * *

Abstract. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the well-known Schur-Cohn region $\mathcal{E}_d$ consists of all d-dimensional vectors $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ corresponding to monic polynomials $X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + a_{d-1}X + a_d$ whose roots all lie in the open unit disk. This region has been extensively studied over decades. Recently, Akiyama and Pethő considered the subsets $\mathcal{E}_d^{(s)}$ of the Schur-Cohn region that correspond to polynomials of degree d with exactly s pairs of nonreal roots. They were especially interested in the d-dimensional Lebesgue measures $v_d^{(s)} := \lambda_d(\mathcal{E}_d^{(s)})$ of these sets and their arithmetic properties, and gave some fundamental results. Moreover, they posed two conjectures that we prove in the present paper. Namely, we show that in the totally complex case $d = 2s$ the formula

$$\frac{v_{2s}^{(s)}}{v_{2s}^{(0)}} = 2^{2s(s-1)} \binom{2s}{s}$$

holds for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and in the general case the quotient $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$ is an integer for all choices $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \le d/2$. We even go beyond that and prove explicit formulæ for $v_d^{(s)}$ and $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$ for arbitrary $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \le d/2$. The ingredients of our proofs comprise Selberg type integrals, determinants like the Cauchy double alternant and partial Hilbert matrices, and disclose interesting methodological parallels to the theory of log-gases studied in theoretical physics.

1. Introduction

Almost 100 years ago Issai Schur [17, 18] introduced and studied what is now called the *Schur-Cohn region*. For each dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ this region is defined as the set of all coefficient vectors of monic polynomials of degree d each of whose roots lies in the open unit disk. As we will deal with polynomials with real coefficients in the present paper we give the formal definition for this setting. The d-dimensional Schur-Cohn region is defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_d := \{(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \text{each root } \xi \text{ of } X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + a_{d-1}X + a_d \text{ satifies } |\xi| < 1\}.$$

Sometimes polynomials having all roots in the open unit disk are called *contractive polynomials*. In the years after Schur's papers were published, Cohn [7] developed an algorithm to check if a polynomial is contractive. In Rahman and Schmeisser [14, Section 11.5] further developments and properties of the Schur-Cohn region are surveyed.

Starting from the 1970s contractive polynomials were studied by Fam and Meditch [9] and their co-authors from the viewpoint of discrete time systems design. In this context Fam [8] calculated the d-dimensional Lebesgue measure $\lambda_d(\mathcal{E}_d)$ of $\mathcal{E}_d$. In particular, he proved that (here we give a simplified version of [8, (2.7) and (2.8)])

$$v_d := \lambda_d(\mathcal{E}_d) = 2^d \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2j}\right)^{2j-d}. \tag{1.1}$$

Date: September 23, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05A10, 11C08, 33B20. Secondary: 05A05, 11C20.

Key words and phrases. Polynomial with bounded roots, Selberg integral, Cauchy double alternant, shift radix system, log-gas.

Both authors are supported by the Franco-Austrian research project I1136 "Fractals and Numeration" granted by the French National Research Agency (ANR) and the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), and by the Doctoral Program W1230 "Discrete Mathematics" supported by the FWF. The second author is supported by the FWF project P27050.

More recently, the Schur-Cohn region also occurred in connection with number systems and so-called *shift radix systems* (see Akiyama *et al.* [1] or Kirschenhofer and Thuswaldner [11]).

Given a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ of degree d with r real and $2s$ nonreal roots we call (r, s) the *signature* of P. Since $d = r + 2s$ for given degree d the signature is determined by the value s of pairs of conjugate nonreal roots. In [2], Akiyama and Pethő studied a partition of $\mathcal{E}_d$ in terms of the signature of contractive polynomials (see also [3]). In particular, they were interested in the sets

$$\mathcal{E}_d^{(s)} := \{(a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \mathcal{E}_d \mid X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} + \cdots + a_{d-1}X + a_d \text{ has exactly } s \text{ pairs of nonreal roots}\}$$

and their volumes

$$v_d^{(s)} := \lambda_d(\mathcal{E}_d^{(s)}). \tag{1.2}$$

In the quest for formulæ for these volumes they unveiled interesting relations of $v_d^{(s)}$ with Selberg integrals (introduced in [19]) and their generalizations by Aomoto [5]. In particular, they expressed $v_d^{(0)}$ in terms of a classical Selberg integral which led to the simple formula (see [2, Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 5.1])

$$v_d^{(0)} = 2^{d(d+1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{(j-1)!^2}{(2j-1)!}.$$

Besides that, the studies by Akiyama and Pethő in [2] were devoted to arithmetical properties of the quantities $v_d^{(s)}$. It was proved that these numbers are rational for all choices of d and s. Moreover, certain quotients of these numbers were studied. In particular, it was shown that $v_d/v_d^{(0)}$ is an odd integer for all $d \geq 1$ and, based on numerical experiments, the following conjecture was stated.

Conjecture 1.1 (see [2, Conjecture 5.1])**.** The quotient $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$ is an integer for all choices $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \leq d/2$.

Moreover, for the particular instance $d = 2s$ even the following surprisingly simple explicit formula was conjectured.

Conjecture 1.2 (see [2, Conjecture 5.2])**.** For all $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\frac{v_{2s}^{(s)}}{v_{2s}^{(0)}} = 2^{2s(s-1)} \binom{2s}{s}. \tag{1.3}$$

In the present paper we are able to confirm both of these conjectures (see Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 2.1 below). We note that a special instance of the first conjecture was confirmed recently by Kirschenhofer and Weitzer [12], where the remarkable formula

$$\frac{v_d^{(1)}}{v_d^{(0)}} = \frac{P_d(3) - 2d - 1}{4} \tag{1.4}$$

was established. Here P_d is the d-th Legendre polynomial. Integrality of this quotient was then derived by standard properties of Legendre polynomials.

In our proof of the conjectures of Akiyama and Pethő various ingredients are needed. We start with a theorem established by these authors (*viz.* [2, Theorem 2.1]) to write $v_d^{(s)}$ as a Selberg-type integral. Amazingly, in our treatment of this Selberg-type integral methods that have been used in the study of log-gases can be applied (see Forrester [10] for a comprehensive survey on this topic). In particular, the approach proposed in Alastuey and Jancovici [4] turns out to be very valuable in the context of random Vandermonde matrices for our purposes. Besides that, methods from "advanced determinant calculus" are used to obtain closed forms for variants of the Cauchy double alternant that come up along the way. Moreover, we need minors of the Hilbert matrix that is known in numerics for its numerical instability (see *e.g.* [20]) to further simplify our formulæ in order to finally establish the integrality of the quotients under scrutiny.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we confirm Conjecture 1.2 of Akiyama and Pethő. In particular, we prove (1.3) (see Theorem 2.1) which immediately implies that this quotient is

integral. Although the proof of this result is much shorter than the proof of the general case it contains several of the main ideas. Section 3 is devoted to preparatory definitions and results. It contains the proof of a closed form for a "determinant like" sum and a certain convolution of it that will be needed in the proof of our main result. Section 4 contains a formula for the volumes $v_d^{(s)}$ (see Theorem 4.4). In Section 5 we establish a formula for the quotients $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$ (see Theorem 5.1) and discuss how the known special cases proved by Akiyama and Pethő [2] as well as Kirschenhofer and Weitzer [12] follow from it as special cases. However, although this formula allows for an easy calculation of these quotients we cannot infer integrality of the quotients directly from it. Thus in Section 6 we give a different formula for $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$ (see Theorem 6.1) in terms of determinants whose entries are roots of quantities similar to the entries of the inverse Hilbert matrix. This allows to deduce Conjecture 1.1 of Akiyama and Pethő.

2. The totally complex case

To prove the integrality of $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$ turns out to be rather involved. For this reason we decided to start this paper with the proof of Conjecture 1.2 concerning the quotient $v_{2s}^{(s)}/v_{2s}^{(0)}$. The proof of this conjecture is easier than the proof of Conjecture 1.1 but already contains some of the ideas needed for the general case and can therefore serve as a "road map" for the treatment of the contribution of the nonreal roots in the general proof. Moreover, we think that the nice formula for this quotient deserves to be announced in a separate theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *The quotient $v_{2s}^{(s)}/v_{2s}^{(0)}$ is an integer for each $s \geq 1$. In particular,*

$$\frac{v_{2s}^{(s)}}{v_{2s}^{(0)}} = 2^{2s(s-1)}\binom{2s}{s}.$$

By [2, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 5.1] we know that the denominators fulfill

$$v_{2s}^{(0)} = \frac{2^{s(2s+1)}}{(2s)!}\Big/\prod_{j=0}^{2s-1}\binom{2j+1}{j}. \tag{2.1}$$

Therefore the assertion of the theorem is equivalent to

$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = \frac{2^{s(4s-1)}}{(s!)^2}\Big/\prod_{j=0}^{2s-1}\binom{2j+1}{j}. \tag{2.2}$$

As a main step for the proof of Theorem 2.1 we establish the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *For $s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = 2^{3s} D_s,$$

where

$$D_s = \det\left(\frac{1}{(2j)^2-(2k-1)^2}\right)_{1\leq j,k\leq s}.$$

Proof. We start from [2, Theorem 2.1] for the case of $r = 0$ real roots and s pairs of complex conjugate roots

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= x_1 + iy_1, \ldots, z_s = x_s + iy_s, \\ \overline{z_1} &= x_1 - iy_1, \ldots, \overline{z_s} = x_s - iy_s, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where we use the notation $(X - z_j)(X - \overline{z_j}) = X^2 - \alpha_j X + \beta_j$, so that

$$\alpha_j = 2x_j \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j = x_j^2 + y_j^2 \qquad (1 \leq j \leq s). \tag{2.4}$$

With this notation [2, Theorem 2.1] states that

$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = \frac{1}{s!}\int_{D_{0,s}} \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq s} (z_j - z_k)(z_j - \overline{z_k})(\overline{z_j} - z_k)(\overline{z_j} - \overline{z_k})\, d\alpha_1 \cdots d\alpha_s\, d\beta_1 \cdots d\beta_s,$$

where $D_{0,s}$ denotes the region $0 \le \beta_j \le 1$, $-2\sqrt{\beta_j} \le \alpha_j \le 2\sqrt{\beta_j}$ for all $1 \le j \le s$. Since

$$\det \frac{\partial(\alpha_j, \beta_j)}{\partial(x_j, y_j)} = 4y_j = 2i(\overline{z_j} - z_j),$$

we get

$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = \frac{2^s i^s}{s!} \int_{(x_j,y_j)\in B^+, 1\le j\le s} \left(\prod_{1\le j<k\le s} (z_k - z_j)(z_k - \overline{z_j})(\overline{z_k} - z_j)(\overline{z_k} - \overline{z_j}) \right) \prod_{j=1}^{s} (\overline{z_j} - z_j)\, dx_1 \cdots dx_s\, dy_1 \cdots dy_s$$

with B^+ denoting the upper half of the unit disk. In the following step of computation we adopt an idea used by Physicists in the computation of certain Coulomb potentials (see *e.g.* [4]). Observe that the integrand in the last integral equals the Vandermonde determinant $V(z_1, \overline{z_1}, \dots, z_s, \overline{z_s})$ of order $2s$. In the following, we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_m$ and $\mathfrak{S}_M$ the symmetric groups acting on $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and the set M, respectively. Inserting the definition of the determinant we gain with $\sigma_k := \sigma(k)$

(2.5)
$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = \frac{2^s i^s}{s!} \int_{(x_j,y_j)\in B^+, 1\le j\le s} \left(\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) z_1^{\sigma_1-1} \overline{z_1}^{\sigma_2-1} \cdots z_s^{\sigma_{2s-1}-1} \overline{z_s}^{\sigma_{2s}-1} \right) dx_1\, dy_1 \cdots dx_s\, dy_s.$$

Now we transform to polar coordinates $x_j + iy_j = r_j \exp i\theta_j$ and we gain

$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = \frac{2^s i^s}{s!} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \int_{r_j=0}^{1} \int_{\theta_j=0}^{\pi} r_j^{\sigma_{2j-1}+\sigma_{2j}-1} \exp(i(\sigma_{2j-1} - \sigma_{2j})\theta_j)\, d\theta_j\, dr_j. \tag{2.6}$$

In the following, for $f(t) = f_1(t) + if_2(t)$ with $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we set $\int f(t)\, dt = \int f_1(t)\, dt + i \int f_2(t)\, dt$. Then $\int \exp(i\alpha t)\, dt = -i \exp(i\alpha t)/\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and we have

$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = \frac{2^s}{s!} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{\sigma_{2j-1} + \sigma_{2j}} \frac{(-1)^{\sigma_{2j-1}-\sigma_{2j}} - 1}{\sigma_{2j-1} - \sigma_{2j}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Observe that the numerators in the last fraction are equal to 0 if $\sigma_{2j-1} - \sigma_{2j}$ is even and equal to -2 if $\sigma_{2j-1} - \sigma_{2j}$ is odd. Therefore only permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2s}$, for which $\sigma_{2j-1} - \sigma_{2j}$ is odd for all $1 \le j \le s$ give a nonzero contribution to the sum. A permutation of this type has the form

$$\sigma = (\varphi, \psi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & 2j-1 & 2j & \dots & 2s-1 & 2s \\ \varphi(1) & \psi(2) & \varphi(3) & \psi(4) & \dots & \varphi(2j-1) & \psi(2j) & \dots & \varphi(2s-1) & \psi(2s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where φ is a permutation of $\mathfrak{S}_{2s}^o := \mathfrak{S}_{\{1,3,\dots,2s-1\}}$, and ψ is a permutation of $\mathfrak{S}_{2s}^e := \mathfrak{S}_{\{2,4,\dots,2s\}}$, or of a form where any of the pairs $(\varphi(2j-1), \psi(2j))$ in the second line are interchanged. Each interchange of the latter type will change the sign of the permutation by the factor -1. Therefore, setting $\varphi_j := \varphi(2j-1), \psi_j := \psi(2j)$, we get

$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = \frac{2^s}{s!} \sum_{(\varphi,\psi),\varphi\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}^o,\psi\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}^e} \operatorname{sgn}(\varphi, \psi) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{\varphi_j + \psi_j} \left(\frac{(-1)^{\varphi_j-\psi_j} - 1}{\varphi_j - \psi_j} - \frac{(-1)^{\psi_j-\varphi_j} - 1}{\psi_j - \varphi_j} \right). \tag{2.8}$$

Since $\varphi_j - \psi_j$ is odd, the term in the round brackets equals $\frac{4}{\varphi_j-\psi_j}$, which yields

$$v_{2s}^{(s)} = \frac{2^s}{s!} 2^{2s} \sum_{(\varphi,\psi)} \operatorname{sgn}(\varphi, \psi) \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{\psi_j^2 - \varphi_j^2}.$$

Observe that

$$\sigma = (\varphi, \psi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 2j-1 & \dots & 2s-1 \\ \varphi_1 & \dots & \varphi_j & \dots & \varphi_s \end{pmatrix} \circ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \dots & 2j & \dots & 2s \\ \psi_1 & \dots & \psi_j & \dots & \psi_s \end{pmatrix} = \varphi \circ \psi,$$

if φ and ψ are regarded as elements of $\mathfrak{S}_{2s}$. Therefore $\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi,\psi)=\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi)\operatorname{sgn}(\psi)$ and we get

$$v_{2s}^{(s)}=\frac{2^{3s}}{s!}\sum_{\varphi\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}^{o}}\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi)\sum_{\psi\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}^{e}}\operatorname{sgn}(\psi)\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{1}{\psi_j^2-\varphi_j^2}.$$

By the definition of the determinant we have

$$\sum_{\psi\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}^{e}}\operatorname{sgn}(\psi)\prod_{j=1}^{s}\frac{1}{\psi_j^2-\varphi_j^2}=\det\left(\frac{1}{(2j)^2-\varphi_k^2}\right)_{1\le j,k\le s}.$$

The latter determinant needs $\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi)$ interchanges of columns to be transformed to

$$D_s:=\det\left(\frac{1}{(2j)^2-(2k-1)^2}\right)_{1\le j,k\le s}. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore we have established

$$v_{2s}^{(s)}=\frac{2^{3s}}{s!}\sum_{\varphi\in\mathfrak{S}_{2s}^{o}}\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi)\operatorname{sgn}(\varphi)D_s=2^{3s}D_s, \tag{2.10}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. □

We finish the proof of Theorem 2.1 by the following product formula for the determinant D_s.

Lemma 2.3.

$$D_s=\frac{2^{4s(s-1)}}{(s!)^2}\Big/\prod_{j=0}^{2s-1}\binom{2j+1}{j}. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. We start with the observation that D_s is of the form

$$D_s=\det\left(\frac{1}{X_j+Y_k}\right)_{1\le j.k\le s},\ \text{with } X_j=(2j)^2 \text{ and } Y_k=-(2k-1)^2.$$

Using the Cauchy double alternant formula (*cf. e.g.* [13])

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{X_j+Y_k}\right)_{1\le j,k\le s}=\frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le s}(X_j-X_k)(Y_j-Y_k)}{\prod_{1\le j,k\le s}(X_j+Y_k)}=\frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le s}(X_k-X_j)(Y_k-Y_j)}{\prod_{1\le j,k\le s}(X_j+Y_k)} \tag{2.12}$$

we find

$$D_s=(-1)^{s(s-1)/2}2^{2s(s-1)}\frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le s}(k-j)^2(k+j)(k+j-1))}{\prod_{1\le j,k\le s}(2j-2k+1)(2j+2k-1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{D_{s+1}}{D_s}&=\frac{(-1)^s2^{4s}\prod_{1\le j\le s}(s+1-j)^2(s+1+j)(s+j)}{\prod_{1\le k\le s+1}(2s-2k+3)(2s+2k-1)\prod_{1\le j\le s}(2j-2s-1)(2j+2s+1)}\\&=2^{4s}\frac{(2s)!(2s+1)!(2s+1)}{(s+1)(4s+3)!!(4s+1)!!}=2^{4s}\frac{(2s+1)!^2(4s+4)!!(4s+2)!!}{(s+1)(4s+4)!(4s+2)!}\\&=2^{8s+2}\frac{1}{(s+1)^2\binom{4s+4}{2s+2}\binom{4s+2}{2s+1}}=2^{8s}\frac{1}{(s+1)^2\binom{4s+3}{2s+1}\binom{4s+1}{2s}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

with

$$m!!:=m(m-2)\cdots(m-2\lceil m/2\rceil+2)\text{ for }m\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{2.14}$$

From the last equality the lemma follows immediately by induction. □

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

3. Preparations for the general case

This section contains some definitions and auxiliary results that will be needed in the proof of our main result.

Throughout the paper finite sequences will play a prominent role. If X and Y are finite sequences we write $X \subseteq Y$ to state that X is a (possibly empty) subsequence of Y. Moreover $Y \setminus X$ denotes the subsequence of Y containing all elements of Y that are not contained in X. Also other set theoretic notions will be carried over to finite sequences in the same vein. It turns out that this will cause no confusion.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $X_1, \dots, X_m$ be indeterminates. We consider sums of the form

$$S_m(X_1, \dots, X_m) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \frac{1}{X_{\sigma(1)}(X_{\sigma(1)} + X_{\sigma(2)}) \cdots (X_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + X_{\sigma(m)})}. \tag{3.1}$$

Closed forms of these sums as well as of certain convolutions of them will be studied in the two subsequent lemmas. These lemmas will be needed in order to calculate the contribution of the real roots of the Selberg-type integral for the volume $v_d^{(s)}$ (see Lemma 4.3).

First we give the following closed form of $S_m(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ which may be of interest in its own right.

Lemma 3.1. *The rational function $S_m(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ fulfills*

$$S_m(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{1 \le j < k \le m} (X_k - X_j)}{\displaystyle\prod_{1 \le j \le m} X_j \prod_{1 \le j < k \le m} (X_k + X_j)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. To show (3.2) we use induction on m. Since (3.2) is obviously true for $m = 1$ we proceed to the induction step and assume that (3.2) holds for all values $m' < m$. Splitting the sum by the possible values of $\sigma(m)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(X_1, \dots, X_m) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m \\ \sigma(m) = \ell}} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)}{X_{\sigma(1)}(X_{\sigma(1)} + X_{\sigma(2)}) \cdots (X_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + X_{\sigma(m-1)} + X_\ell)} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m \\ \sigma(m) = \ell}} \frac{1}{X_1 + \cdots + X_m} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)}{X_{\sigma(1)}(X_{\sigma(1)} + X_{\sigma(2)}) \cdots (X_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + X_{\sigma(m-1)})} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{m-1}} \frac{1}{X_1 + \cdots + X_m} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\ell, m)}{X_{\sigma(1)}(X_{\sigma(1)} + X_{\sigma(2)}) \cdots (X_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + X_{\sigma(m-1)})} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\ell, m)}{X_1 + \cdots + X_m} S_{m-1}(X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_\ell}, \dots, X_m), \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(\ell, m)$ is the sign of the transposition of ℓ and m (which equals 1 for $\ell = m$ and -1 otherwise), and $X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_\ell}, \dots X_m$ denotes the tuple $X_1, \dots, X_m$, with X_ℓ missing. Now we use the induction hypothesis to see that

$$S_m(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(\ell, m)}{X_1 + \cdots + X_m} \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{\substack{1 \le j < k \le m \\ j,k \ne \ell}} (X_k - X_j)}{\displaystyle\prod_{j \ne \ell} X_j \prod_{\substack{1 \le j < k \le m \\ j,k \ne \ell}} (X_k + X_j)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Set $Q(X_1, \dots, X_m) = (X_1 + \cdots + X_m) \prod_{j=1}^{m} X_j \prod_{j<k} (X_k + X_j)$, which is the l.c.m. of the denominators of the summands in (3.3). Then by (3.3) there is $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ satisfying

$$S_m(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \frac{P(X_1, \dots, X_m)}{Q(X_1, \dots, X_m)}.$$

We now examine divisibility properties of P. Let $i \neq j$. Then, interchanging the indeterminates X_i and X_j has the effect

$$S_m(X_1, \ldots, X_i, \ldots, X_j, \ldots, X_m) = -S_m(X_1, \ldots, X_j, \ldots, X_i, \ldots, X_m).$$

Thus, if $X_i = X_j$ then $S_m(X_1, \ldots, X_m) = 0$ which implies that $(X_j - X_i) \mid P$ and, hence, there exists $R \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ such that

$$S_m(X_1, \ldots, X_m) = \frac{\prod_{j<k}(X_k - X_j)R(X_1, \ldots, X_m)}{Q(X_1, \ldots, X_m)}. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3) we know that $S_m(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ is the quotient of two forms whose difference of degrees is a fixed constant. Comparing this with (3.4) we gain that R is a (nonzero) linear form. Now, since $|S_m(X_1, \ldots, X_m)|$ as well as $|S_m(X_1, \ldots, X_m)/R(X_1, \ldots, X_m)|$ is invariant under permutation of the variables, the same has to be true for $|R(X_1, \ldots, X_m)|$ and this implies that $R(X_1, \ldots, X_m) = a(X_1 + \cdots + X_m)$. Comparing the monomials with the highest sum of indices of (3.3) and (3.4) we see that $a = 1$ and (3.2) follows from (3.4) and the definition of Q. This concludes the induction proof. □

The convolution of the sums $S_m(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ that we treat in the following lemma will come up in the proof of our main result. It will be established using Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $R = (1, \ldots, r)$ be a finite sequence. Then the sums S_m from (3.1) admit the convolution formula*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{K \subseteq R} & S_{|K|}(X_j, j \in K) S_{r-|K|}(X_j, j \in R \setminus K) \\ &= 2^r \frac{\prod\limits_{1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le \lfloor r/2 \rfloor} (X_{2j_2} - X_{2j_1}) \prod\limits_{1 \le k_1 < k_2 \le \lceil r/2 \rceil} (X_{2k_2-1} - X_{2k_1-1})}{\prod\limits_{1 \le k \le \lceil r/2 \rceil} X_{2k-1} \prod\limits_{1 \le j \le \lfloor r/2 \rfloor, 1 \le k \le \lceil r/2 \rceil} (X_{2j} + X_{2k-1})}.\end{aligned}$$

Here the sum runs over all subsequences K of R.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.1 and the notation

$$j \equiv k \ (K) \text{ iff } (j, k \in K \text{ or } j, k \notin K), \tag{3.5}$$

the identity in question is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{K \subseteq R} & \prod_{1 \le j < k \le r,\ j \equiv k (K)} (X_k - X_j) \prod_{1 \le j < k \le r,\ j \not\equiv k (K)} (X_k + X_j) \\ &= 2^r \prod_{1 \le 2m \le r} X_{2m} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le r,\ j \equiv k (2)} (X_k^2 - X_j^2).\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Observe that both sides of (3.6) are forms. Denote the left hand side of (3.6) by $T(R)$ and the right hand side by $T'(R)$. $T(R)$ has degree $\binom{r}{2}$ and $T'(R)$ has degree $\lfloor r/2 \rfloor + 2\binom{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}{2} + 2\binom{\lceil r/2 \rceil}{2} = \lceil r/2 \rceil^2 + \lfloor r/2 \rfloor^2 - \lfloor r/2 \rfloor$, which is easily seen to coincide with $\binom{r}{2}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

In $T(R)$ the term $X_r^{r-1} X_{r-1}^{r-2} \cdots X_2^1$ occurs with coefficient 1 for each subsequence K of R, and therefore with coefficient 2^r altogether. On the other hand, in $T'(R)$ the term $X_r^{r-1} X_{r-1}^{r-2} \cdots X_2^1$ occurs as $2^r \prod_{1 \le 2m \le r} X_{2m} \prod_{3 \le \ell \le r} (X_\ell^2)^{\lceil (\ell-2)/2 \rceil}$, *i.e.*, with the same coefficient.

Therefore in order to prove (3.6) it suffices to prove the divisibility property

$$\prod_{1 \le 2m \le r} X_{2m} \prod_{1 \le j < k \le r,\ j \equiv k (2)} (X_k^2 - X_j^2) \ \Big| \ T(R). \tag{3.7}$$

We will establish (3.7) in three steps.

Step 1: We prove that

$$X_{2m} \mid T(R). \tag{3.8}$$

Assume that $X_{2m}=0$ and let $t(K)$ be the summand of $T(R)$ corresponding to the subsequence K. Since (3.8) is certainly true for $r=1$ we may assume $r\geq 2$ in which case the function

$$f: K\mapsto \begin{cases}(R\setminus K)\cup\{2m\}, & \text{if } 2m\in K,\\ R\setminus(K\cup\{2m\}), & \text{if } 2m\notin K\end{cases}$$

forms a pairing on the set of all subsequences of R. Assume first that $2m\in K$. Pulling out all factors of the summand $t(K)$ containing $X_{2m}=0$ we see that there is a polynomial $P_K(X_1,\dots,\widehat{X_{2m}},\dots,X_r)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} t(K)=&(-1)^{\#\{j\in K: j>2m\}}\prod_{j\in K\setminus\{2m\}}(0-X_j)\prod_{j\notin K}(X_j+0)\cdot P_K(X_1,\dots,\widehat{X_{2m}},\dots,X_r),\\ t(f(K))=&(-1)^{\#\{j\notin K: j>2m\}}\prod_{j\notin K\cup\{2m\}}(0-X_j)\prod_{j\in K}(X_j+0)\cdot P_K(X_1,\dots,\widehat{X_{2m}},\dots,X_r).\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Regrouping the signs and comparing the powers of (-1) of $t(K)$ and $t(f(K))$ in (3.9) we see immediately that $t(K)=(-1)^{(2m-1)}t(f(K))$, and, hence, $t(K)+t(f(K))=0$. The case $2m\notin K$ can be treated in the same way. Summing up over all K we therefore end up with $T(R)=0$. Thus (3.8) follows.

Step 2: We now prove that

$$(X_n-X_m)\mid T(R)\qquad \text{if } m\equiv n \pmod 2,\quad m\neq n.\tag{3.10}$$

The result is trivial for $r=2$, thus we may assume that $r\geq 3$ in the sequel. Assume that $X_m=X_n$ for some $m\neq n$ with $m\equiv n \mod 2$. We prove that the $T(R)=0$ in this case. Set $Y=X_m=X_n$. If $n\equiv m\ (K)$, the factor $X_m-X_n=Y-Y=0$ occurs in $t(K)$ and, hence, $t(K)=0$.

Assume now that $n\not\equiv m\ (K)$. W.l.o.g. we may assume that $m\in K$, $n\notin K$. On all subsequences K of R with $n\not\equiv m\ (K)$ define the function

$$f: K\mapsto (R\setminus(K\cup\{n\}))\cup\{m\}.$$

Since $r\geq 3$ the function f induces a pairing on the set of all subsequences K of R with $n\not\equiv m\ (K)$. Since $t(K)=t(R\setminus K)$, pulling out all factors of $t(K)$ containing Y for each k satisfying $n\not\equiv m\ (K)$, there is a polynomial $P_K(X_1,\dots,\widehat{X_m},\dots,\widehat{X_n},\dots,X_r)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} t(K)=&(-1)^{\#\{j\in K: j>m\}}\prod_{j\in K}(Y-X_j)(-1)^{\#\{j\notin K: j>n\}}\prod_{j\notin K}(Y-X_j)2Y\prod_{j=1}^{r}(X_j+Y)\\ &\cdot P_K(X_1,\dots,\widehat{X_m},\dots,\widehat{X_n},\dots,X_r),\\ t(f(K))=&(-1)^{\#\{j\notin K: j>m\}}\prod_{j\notin K}(Y-X_j)(-1)^{\#\{j\in K: j>n\}}\prod_{j\in K}(Y-X_j)2Y\prod_{j=1}^{r}(X_j+Y)\\ &\cdot P_K(X_1,\dots,\widehat{X_m},\dots,\widehat{X_n},\dots,X_r).\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Regrouping the signs and comparing the powers of (-1) of $t(K)$ and $t(f(K))$ in (3.11) we see immediately that $t(K)=(-1)^{(m+n-1)}t(f(K))$, and, hence, since $m\equiv n \pmod 2$we have $t(K)+t(f(K))=0$. Summing up over all K we therefore see that $T(R)=0$ and thus (3.10) follows.

Step 3: Finally, we prove that

$$(X_n+X_m)\mid T(R)\qquad \text{if } m\equiv n \pmod 2\quad m\neq n.\tag{3.12}$$

Again the result is trivial for $r=2$, thus we may assume that $r\geq 3$ in the sequel. Assume that $X_m=-X_n$ for some $m\neq n$ with $m\equiv n \mod 2$. We prove that the $T(R)=0$ also in this case. Set $Y=X_m=-X_n$. If $n\not\equiv m\ (K)$, the factor $X_m+X_n=Y-Y=0$ occurs in $t(K)$ and, hence, $t(K)=0$.

Assume now that $n \equiv m\ (K)$. On all subsequences K of R with $n \equiv m\ (K)$ define the function

$$f : K \mapsto \begin{cases} (R \setminus K) \cup \{m, n\}, & \text{if } n, m \in K, \\ R \setminus (K \cup \{n, m\}), & \text{if } n, m \notin K. \end{cases}$$

Since $r \geq 3$ the function f induces a pairing on the set of all subsequences K of R with $n \equiv m\ (K)$. Assume first that $n, m \in K$ and $m < n$. Pulling out all factors of $t(K)$ containing Y, there is a polynomial $P_K(X_1, \ldots, \widehat{X_m}, \ldots, \widehat{X_n}, \ldots, X_r)$ such that

(3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} t(K) =& (-1)^{\#\{j\in K: j>m\}} \prod_{j\in K\setminus\{m\}} (Y - X_j)(-1)^{\#\{j\in K: j>n\}} \prod_{j\in K\setminus\{n,m\}} (-Y - X_j) \\ & \prod_{j\notin K} (Y + X_j)(-Y + X_j) \cdot P_K(X_1, \ldots, \widehat{X_m}, \ldots, \widehat{X_n}, \ldots, X_r), \\ t(f(K)) =& (-1)^{\#\{j\in f(K): j>m\}} \prod_{j\in f(K)\setminus\{m\}} (Y - X_j)(-1)^{\#\{j\in f(K): j>n\}} \prod_{j\in f(K)\setminus\{n,m\}} (-Y - X_j) \\ & \prod_{j\notin f(K)} (Y + X_j)(-Y + X_j) \cdot P_K(X_1, \ldots, \widehat{X_m}, \ldots, \widehat{X_n}, \ldots, X_r) \\ =& (-1)^{\#\{j\notin K: j>m\}+1} \prod_{j\notin K\setminus\{n\}} (Y - X_j)(-1)^{\#\{j\notin K: j>n\}} \prod_{j\notin K} (-Y - X_j) \\ & \prod_{j\in K\setminus\{n,m\}} (Y + X_j)(-Y + X_j) \cdot P_K(X_1, \ldots, \widehat{X_m}, \ldots, \widehat{X_n}, \ldots, X_r). \end{aligned}$$

Regrouping the signs and comparing the powers of (-1) of $t(K)$ and $t(f(K))$ in (3.13) we see immediately that $t(K) = (-1)^{(m+n-1)} t(f(K))$, and, hence, since $m \equiv n \pmod 2$ we have $t(K) + t(f(K)) = 0$. The remaining cases where $n, m \notin K$ or $m > n$ can be treated in the same way. Summing up over all K we therefore see that $T(R) = 0$ and thus (3.12) follows.

The divisibility properties (3.8), (3.10), and (3.12) imply (3.7) and the lemma is proved. □

We now define some notions related to the parity of the elements of a finite sequence.

Definition 3.3. A finite sequence X consisting of $\lceil |X|/2 \rceil$ odd and $\lfloor |X|/2 \rfloor$ even numbers is said to be in *increasing oe-order*, if it is of the shape odd, even, odd, even, ..., where the odd and the even subsequences are both strictly increasing. We denote the sign of the permutation that brings the elements of X from their natural order to the increasing oe-order by $\operatorname{oesgn}(X)$.

Let X be a finite sequence of strictly ascending positive integers. Define the sequences X_1 and X_2 in a way that $2X_1$ and $2X_2 + 1$ constitute the even and odd elements of the sequence X in ascending order, respectively. Then (X_1, X_2) is called the *parity splitting* of X. The sequence X is called *parity balanced* if $|X_1| = |X_2|$, *i.e.*, if X contains the same number of odd and even elements.

We will need the following property of the oe-order.

Lemma 3.4. *For $x \in \mathbb{N}$ let $X = (1, \ldots, 2x)$ be a finite sequence of even length. Let M be a parity balanced subsequence of X and let $N = X \setminus M$. If (N_1, N_2) is the parity splitting of N then*

$$\operatorname{oesgn}(M)\operatorname{oesgn}(N) = (-1)^{\sum_{m\in N_1} m + \sum_{n\in N_2} n}. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. Fix the cardinality $c = |N_1| = |N_2|$ and let $a = \sum_{m\in N_1} m + \sum_{n\in N_2} n$. Note that $a \geq c(c+1)$. We prove the lemma by induction on a.

For the induction start observe that if $a = c(c+1)$ then $N_1 = N_2 = \{1, \ldots, c\}$ and, hence, $\operatorname{oesgn}(M) = \operatorname{oesgn}(N) = 1$ (the oe-order and the natural order coincide) and $(-1)^a = 1$. Thus the lemma holds in this instance.

For the induction step assume that the lemma is true for all $c(c+1) \le a < a_0$ and consider a constellation N_1, N_2 corresponding to the value $a = a_0$. Since $a_0 > c(c+1)$ there is $x \in N$ with $x-2 \in M$. Now we switch these two elements, *i.e.*, we put x in the set M and $x-2$ in the set N. If $x-1 \in N$ then oesgn(M) remains the same under this change, and oesgn(N) changes the sign. If $x-1 \in M$ then oesgn(N) remains the same under this change, and oesgn(M) changes the sign. Thus in any instance, oesgn(M)oesgn(N) changes the sign.

Summing up, in the new constellation we have $a = a_0 - 1$ and a total sign change of (-1) in oesgn(M)oesgn(N) compared to the original constellation. Thus the lemma follows by induction. □

4. Formulæ for the volumes in the general case

In this section we treat the case of polynomials having s pairs of (nonreal) complex conjugate roots and r real roots within the open unit disk. We will first derive a general formula that expresses the volume $v_{2s+r}^{(s)}$ of the coefficient space in this instance by means of a sum of determinants. This forms a generalization of Lemma 2.2.

Using the notations introduced in (2.3) and (2.4) the result of [2, Theorem 2.1] states that the volumes in question admit the integral representation

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2s+r}^{(s)} =& \frac{1}{s!r!} \int_{D_{r,s}} \prod_{1\le j<k\le s} (z_j - z_k)(z_j - \overline{z_k})(\overline{z_j} - z_k)(\overline{z_j} - \overline{z_k}) \\ & \prod_{1\le j\le s, 1\le k\le r} (z_j - \xi_k)(\overline{z_j} - \xi_k) \prod_{1\le j<k\le r} |\xi_k - \xi_r| \, d\alpha_1 \cdots d\alpha_s \, d\beta_1 \cdots d\beta_s \, d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_r, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $D_{r,s}$ denotes the region given by $0 \le \beta_j \le 1, -2\sqrt{\beta_j} \le \alpha_j \le 2\sqrt{\beta_j}$ for all $1 \le j \le s$ and $-1 \le \xi_j \le 1$ for all $1 \le j \le r$. Proceeding as in (2.5) with the "complex part" of the integral and bringing the variables $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r$ in increasing order yields

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2s+r}^{(s)} = \frac{2^s i^s}{s!} \int_{(x_j,y_j)\in B^+, 1\le j\le s} \int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\cdots\le\xi_r\le 1} & V(z_1, \overline{z_1}, \dots, z_s, \overline{z_s}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \\ & dx_1 \cdots dx_s \, dy_1 \cdots dy_s \, d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_r, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$V_{2s,r} := V(z_1, \overline{z_1}, \dots, z_s, \overline{z_s}, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \tag{4.3}$$

is the Vandermonde determinant of order $2s+r$. Laplace expansion of this determinant by the first $2s$ columns (which are the columns corresponding to the "complex" part) yields

$$V_{2s,r} = \sum_{M\subseteq(1,\dots,2s+r), |M|=2s} V_{2s,r}^{M,(1,\dots,2s)} V_{2s,r}^{N,(2s+1,\dots,2s+r)} (-1)^{\sum_{j\in M} j + \binom{2s+1}{2}}, \tag{4.4}$$

where the sum runs over subsequences M, N denotes $N = (1, \dots, 2s+r) \setminus M$ and $V_{2s,r}^{I,J}$ is the minor of the Vandermonde matrix (4.3) with the rows having index in I and the columns having index in J. Inserting (4.4) in (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2s+r}^{(s)} = \frac{2^s i^s}{s!} \sum_M (-1)^{\sum_{j\in M} j + \binom{2s+1}{2}} & \int_{(x_j,y_j)\in B^+, 1\le j\le s} V_{2s,r}^{M,(1,\dots,2s)} \, dx_j \, dy_j \\ & \int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\cdots\le\xi_r\le 1} V_{2s,r}^{N,(2s+1,\dots,2s+r)} \, d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_r. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Proceeding with the first integral as in (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8) we see that this integral equals zero if M is not parity balanced in the sense of Definition 3.3. Furthermore, letting (M_1, M_2) be the parity splitting of M (see again Definition 3.3), with the same arguments as in the instance $r = 0$ in Section 2 (for the definition of oesgn(M) see also Definition 3.3) the first integral in (4.5) equals

$$\frac{2^s i^s}{s!} \int_{(x_j,y_j)\in B^+, 1\le j\le s} V_{2s,r}^{M,(1,\dots,2s)} \, dx_j \, dy_j = 2^{3s} \text{oesgn}(M) D_s^{M_1,M_2}, \tag{4.6}$$

where $D_s^{M_1,M_2}$ is the minor of the determinant D_s from (2.9), *i.e.*,

$$D_s^{M_1,M_2} := \det\left(\frac{1}{(2j)^2-(2k-1)^2}\right)_{j\in M_1,k\in M_2}. \tag{4.7}$$

Observing that

$$(-1)^{\sum_{j\in M} j+\binom{2s+1}{2}} = (-1)^{|M_2|+(2s+1)s} = 1 \text{ since } |M_2| = s,$$

we have

$$v_{2s+r}^{(s)} = 2^{3s} \sum_{\substack{M\subseteq(1,\dots,2s+r),|M|=2s\\ M \text{ parity balanced}}} \operatorname{oesgn}(M) D_s^{M_1,M_2} \int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\dots\le\xi_r\le 1} V_{2s,r}^{N,(2s+1,\dots,2s+r)}\, d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_r, \tag{4.8}$$

where (M_1, M_2) is the parity splitting of M, and $N = (1,\dots,2s+r)\setminus M$ (observe that N consists of $\lfloor r/2\rfloor$ even and $\lceil r/2\rceil$ odd numbers).

Now we turn our attention to the "real" integral. With $N = (n_1,\dots,n_r)$, $n_1<\dots<n_r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_r(n_1,\dots,n_r) :&= \int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\dots\le\xi_r\le 1} V_{2s,r}^{N,(2s+1,\dots,2s+r)}\, d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_r \\ &= \int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\dots\le\xi_r\le 1} V(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;n_1,\dots,n_r)\, d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_r, \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $V(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;n_1,\dots,n_r)$ is the partial Vandermonde determinant

$$V(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;n_1,\dots,n_r) := \det(\xi_k^{n_j-1})_{1\le j,k\le r}. \tag{4.10}$$

Let

$$N' = (n_1',\dots,n_r') \tag{4.11}$$

be an arrangement of the elements of N in increasing oe-order. Then (4.8) and (4.9) imply the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *The volume $v_{2s+r}^{(s)}$ satisfies*

$$v_{2s+r}^{(s)} = 2^{3s} \sum_{\substack{M\subseteq(1,\dots,2s+r),|M|=2s\\ M \text{ parity balanced}}} \operatorname{oesgn}(M)\operatorname{oesgn}(N) D_s^{M_1,M_2} I_r(n_1',\dots,n_r'). \tag{4.12}$$

Here $N = (1,\dots,2s+r)\setminus M$, $(n_1',\dots,n_r')$ are the elements of N in increasing oe-order (see Definition 3.3 for the terminology).

It now remains to compute the integrals

$$I_r(n_1',\dots,n_r') = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\dots\le\xi_k\le 0} \int_{0\le\xi_{k+1}\le\dots\le\xi_r\le 1} V(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;n_1',\dots,n_r')\, d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_r. \tag{4.13}$$

Let us fix k for the moment and expand the partial Vandermonde determinant by its first k columns. Then the integrals in the kth summand of (4.13) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\dots\le\xi_k\le 0} \int_{0\le\xi_{k+1}\le\dots\le\xi_r\le 1} V(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_r;n_1',\dots,n_r')\, d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_r = \\ &= \sum_{K=(n_{i_1}',\dots,n_{i_k}')\subseteq N} (-1)^{\sum_{1\le j\le k} i_j+\binom{k+1}{2}} \int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\dots\le\xi_k\le 0} V(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_k;n_{i_1}',\dots,n_{i_k}')\, d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_k\times \\ &\qquad\times \int_{0\le\xi_{k+1}\le\dots\le\xi_r\le 1} V(\xi_{k+1},\dots,\xi_r;n_i', i\ne i_1,\dots,i_k)\, d\xi_{k+1}\cdots d\xi_r, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Substituting $\eta_i = -\xi_i$ for $1 \le i \le k$ in the first integral in (4.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-1\le\xi_1\le\cdots\le\xi_k\le 0} V(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_k;n'_{i_1},\dots,n'_{i_k})\,d\xi_1\cdots d\xi_k = \\
&\int_{1\ge\eta_1\ge\cdots\ge\eta_k\ge 1} (-1)^{\sum_{1\le j\le k}(n'_{i_j}-1)-k} V(\eta_1,\dots,\eta_k;n'_{i_1},\dots,n'_{i_k})(-1)^k\,d\eta_1\cdots d\eta_k = \\
&\int_{0\le\eta_k\le\cdots\le\eta_1\le 1} (-1)^{k+\sum_{1\le j\le k}(n'_{i_j}-1)+\binom{k}{2}} V(\eta_1,\dots,\eta_k;n'_{i_1},\dots,n'_{i_k})(-1)^k\,d\eta_1\cdots d\eta_k \\
&= (-1)^{\sum_{1\le j\le k} n'_{i_j}+\binom{k+1}{2}} J_k(n'_{i_1},\dots,n'_{i_k}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where we set

$$J_m(i_1,\dots,i_m) := \int_{0\le u_1\le\cdots\le u_m\le 1} V(u_1,\dots,u_m;i_1,\dots,i_m)\,du_1\cdots du_m. \tag{4.16}$$

Using this notation, inserting (4.15) into (4.14) and then (4.14) into (4.13), and observing that, because $n'_1,\dots,n'_r$ are in increasing oe-order the numbers $n'_{i_j}+i_j$ are all even, we finally get

$$I_r(n'_1,\dots,n'_r) = \sum_{K'\subseteq N'} J_{|K'|}(K')J_{r-|K'|}(N'\setminus K'). \tag{4.17}$$

In the next step we replace the integrals $J_m(i_1,\dots,i_m)$ by the sum $S_m(i_1,\dots,i_m)$ from (3.1). This is justified by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For each $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and each sequence $(i_1,\dots,i_m)$ we have*

$$J_m(i_1,\dots,i_m) = S_m(i_1,\dots,i_m),$$

where $S_m(i_1,\dots,i_m)$ and $J_m(i_1,\dots,i_m)$ are defined in (3.1) *and* (4.16)*, respectively.*

Proof. Recall that

$$J_m(i_1,\dots,i_m) = \int_{0\le u_1\le\cdots\le u_m\le 1} \det(u_k^{i_j-1})_{1\le j,k\le r}\,du_1\cdots du_m.$$

With the substitution $u_k := v_k v_{k+1}\cdots v_m,\ 1\le k\le m$, the integral turns to

$$\begin{aligned}
J_m(i_1,\dots,i_m) &= \int_{0\le v_1,v_2,\dots,v_m\le 1} \det(v_k^{i_j-1}\cdots v_m^{i_j-1})_{1\le j,k\le m} v_2^1 v_3^2\cdots v_m^{m-1}\,dv_1\cdots dv_m \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\int_{0\le v_1,v_2,\dots,v_m\le 1} v_1^{i_{\sigma(1)}-1} v_2^{i_{\sigma(1)}+i_{\sigma(2)}-1}\cdots v_m^{i_{\sigma(1)}+\cdots+i_{\sigma(m)}-1}\,dv_1\cdots dv_m \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\frac{1}{i_{\sigma(1)}(i_{\sigma(1)}+i_{\sigma(2)})\cdots(i_{\sigma(1)}+\cdots+i_{\sigma(m)})} \\
&= S_m(i_1,\dots,i_m).
\end{aligned}$$

□

For the following considerations we have to make some amendments in case $|N|$ is odd. In particular, we define

$$2t = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ r+1, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

and set

$$\tilde{N} = \begin{cases} N, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ N\cup\{2(s+t)\}, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

We now apply Lemma 3.2 in combination with the last lemma to the evaluation of the sums $\sum_{K'} J_{|K'|}(K')J_{r-|K'|}(N'\setminus K')$ occurring in (4.17).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(\tilde{N}_1, N_2)$ be the parity splitting of $\tilde{N}$. Then the "real" integrals $I_r(n'_1, \ldots, n'_r)$ satisfy*

$$I_r(n'_1, \ldots, n'_r) = 2^r B^{\tilde{N}_1, N_2}, \tag{4.20}$$

where $B^{\tilde{N}_1, N_2}$ is the minor with row indices from $\tilde{N}_1$ and column indices from N_2 of the matrix

$$B = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{(2k-1)(2j+2k-1)}\right)_{1\le j,k\le s+t}, & \text{if } r = 2t \text{ even}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(2k-1)(2j+2k-1)}, & 1 \le j \le s+t-1 \\ \frac{1}{2k-1}, & j = s+t \end{pmatrix}_{1\le j,k\le s+t}, & \text{if } r = 2t-1 \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. To prove the result for the instance $r = 2t$ we use Lemma 3.2 with the choice $X_j = n'_j$, where n'_j is as in (4.11). Combining this lemma with Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$I_r(n'_1, \ldots, n'_r) = 2^r \frac{\prod_{1\le j_1<j_2\le t} (n'_{2j_2} - n'_{2j_1}) \prod_{1\le k_1<k_2\le t} (n'_{2k_2-1} - n'_{2k_1-1})}{\prod_{1\le k\le t} n'_{2k-1} \prod_{1\le j\le t, 1\le k\le t} (n'_{2j} + n'_{2k-1})}.$$

Using the double alternant formula (2.12) this yields

$$I_r(n'_1, \ldots, n'_r) = 2^r \frac{\det\left(\frac{1}{n'_{2j}-n'_{2k-1}}\right)_{1\le j,k\le t}}{\prod_{1\le k\le t} n'_{2k-1}} = 2^r \det\left(\frac{1}{n'_{2k-1}(n'_{2j}-n'_{2k-1})}\right)_{1\le j,k\le t}$$

and the result follows because the last determinant equals $B^{\tilde{N}_1, N_2}$.

In the instance $r = 2t-1$ the result follows by the same reasoning. The only difference is that instead of using the double alternant formula (2.12) we have to use

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{X_j+Y_k}, & 1 \le j \le n-1 \\ 1, & j = n \end{pmatrix}_{1\le j,k\le n} = \frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le n-1} (X_j - X_k) \prod_{1\le j<k\le n} (Y_j - Y_k)}{\prod_{1\le j\le n-1, 1\le k\le n} (X_j + Y_k)}. \tag{4.21}$$

This identity can in turn be proved along the lines of the usual proof of (2.12). □

Now we are ready to prove our first main result on the "mixed" volumes.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $v_d^{(s)}$ denote the volume of the coefficient space of real polynomials of degree $d = 2s + r$ with s pairs of (nonreal) complex conjugate roots and r real roots in the open unit disk. Then, for $s \le n$,*

$$\frac{v_{2n}^{(s)}}{2^{2n+s}} = \sum_{\substack{J\subseteq(1,\ldots,n)\\ |J|=s}} \det(c_{j,k}(J))_{1\le j,k\le n} = \sum_{\substack{J\subseteq(1,\ldots,n)\\ |J|=s}} \det(c'_{j,k}(J))_{1\le j,k\le n}$$

with

$$c_{j,k}(J) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2j)^2-(2k-1)^2}, \text{ for } j \in J, \\ \frac{1}{(2k-1)(2j+2k-1)}, \text{ for } j \notin J, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad c'_{j,k}(J) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2j)^2-(2k-1)^2}, \text{ for } k \in J, \\ \frac{1}{(2k-1)(2j+2k-1)}, \text{ for } k \notin J, \end{cases}$$

and, for $s \le n-1$,

$$\frac{v_{2n-1}^{(s)}}{2^{2n+s-1}} = \sum_{\substack{J\subseteq(1,\ldots,n-1)\\ |J|=s}} \det(\tilde{c}_{j,k}(J))_{1\le j,k\le n}$$

with

$$\tilde{c}_{j,k}(J) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(2j)^2-(2k-1)^2}, \text{ for } j \in J, \\ \frac{1}{(2k-1)(2j+2k-1)}, \text{ for } j \notin J, j \ne n, \\ \frac{1}{2k-1}, \text{ for } j = n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.4 we have to deal with the quantities $\operatorname{oesgn}(M)\operatorname{oesgn}(N)$ in (4.12). Observe that $\operatorname{oesgn}(N) = \operatorname{oesgn}(\tilde{N})$, since $2n$ is the maximal element. Thus we may apply Lemma 3.4 with $\tilde{N}$ instead of N and thus this lemma holds for odd and even cardinalities of N.

In the first and the third sum in the statement of the theorem expand the determinants simultaneously according to the rows with index in J. The resulting expression is the same as the one we get when we apply Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 4.3 to (4.12). In the second sum of the theorem we have to expand the determinants simultaneously according to the columns with index in J to finish the proof. □

Setting $s = 0$ we get the following expressions for the "totally real" volumes.

Corollary 4.5. *The volumes $v_r^{(0)}$ of the coefficient space of real polynomials of degree r with all roots real and in the open unit disk fulfill*

$$\frac{v_{2n}^{(0)}}{2^{2n}} = \det\left(\frac{1}{(2k-1)(2j+2k-1)}\right)_{1\le j,k\le n} \qquad \text{if } r = 2n \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{v_{2n-1}^{(0)}}{2^{2n-1}} = \det\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(2k-1)(2j+2k-1)}, & 1\le j\le n-1 \\ \frac{1}{2k-1}, & j = n \end{pmatrix}_{1\le j,k\le n} \qquad \text{if } r = 2n-1.$$

Evaluating the determinants by the double alternant (2.12) or its "odd" analogue (4.21), respectively, this corollary yields the formulæ for the totally real volumes known from [2, Theorem 4.1].

5. Formulæ for the volume ratios in the general case

Now we are in a position to turn our attention to formulæ for the quotients $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$. In particular, we establish the following result involving determinants of partial Hilbert matrices.

Theorem 5.1. *The quotients of the volumes* (1.2) *fulfill, for $s \le \lfloor d/2\rfloor$,*

(5.1)
$$\begin{aligned}\frac{v_d^{(s)}}{v_d^{(0)}} &= \sum_{\substack{K\subseteq(1,\dots,\lfloor d/2\rfloor)\\ |K|\le s}} \binom{\lfloor d/2\rfloor - |K|}{s-|K|}(-1)^{s+|K|}\left(\prod_{k\in K}\frac{1}{2}\binom{d+2k}{d-2k,\ 2k,\ 2k}\right)\prod_{j<k,j,k\in K}\frac{(k-j)^2}{(k+j)^2}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{K\subseteq(1,\dots,\lfloor d/2\rfloor)\\ |K|\le s}} \binom{\lfloor d/2\rfloor - |K|}{s-|K|}(-1)^{s+|K|}\left(\prod_{k\in K}\binom{d+2k}{4k}\binom{4k-1}{2k-1}2k\right)\det\left(\frac{1}{j+k}\right)_{j,k\in K}.\end{aligned}$$

For $K = \emptyset$ the determinant has to be assigned the value 1.

Proof. We start with the instance of even degree $d = 2n$. Observe that, setting $x_j = 2j$ and $y_k = 2k-1$, the entries of the matrices $(c_{j,k}(J))_{1\le j,k\le n}$ from Theorem 4.4 are of the form

$$c_{j,k}(J) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x_j^2 - y_k^2}, & \text{for } j\in J,\\ \frac{1}{y_k(x_j+y_k)}, & \text{for } j\notin J.\end{cases}$$

Using the partial fraction decomposition

$$\frac{1}{x_j^2 - y_k^2} = -\frac{1}{2y_k}\left(\frac{1}{x_j+y_k} + \frac{1}{-x_j+y_k}\right) \tag{5.2}$$

and the multilinearity of the determinant function we find, since $|J| = s$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_J &:= \det(c_{j,k}(J))_{1\le j,k\le n} = \frac{(-1)^s}{2^s\prod_{1\le k\le n}(2k-1)}\sum_{K\subseteq J} d_K, \qquad \text{where}\\ d_K &:= \det\left(d_{j,k}(K)\right)_{1\le j,k\le n} \text{ with } d_{j,k}(K) = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{-2j+2k-1}, & \text{for } j\in K,\\ \frac{1}{2j+2k-1}, & \text{for } j\notin K.\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Inserting the last result in Theorem 4.4 yields

$$\frac{v_{2n}^{(s)}}{2^{2n+s}} = \sum_{J\subseteq(1,\ldots,n),|J|=s} \Delta_J = \frac{(-1)^s}{2^s \prod_{1\le k\le n}(2k-1)} \sum_{K\subseteq(1,\ldots,n),|K|\le s} \binom{n-|K|}{s-|K|} d_K.$$

The binomial coefficient occurs due to the following observation. If $\mathcal{J}_s$ is the collection of all subsequences of cardinality s of the sequence $(1,\ldots,n)$ then a given sequence $K \subseteq (1,\ldots,n)$ is a subsequence of exactly $\binom{n-|K|}{s-|K|}$ elements of the collection $\mathcal{J}_s$. For the case of $s=0$ nonreal roots this specializes to

$$\frac{v_{2n}^{(0)}}{2^{2n}} = \frac{1}{\prod_{1\le k\le n}(2k-1)} d_\emptyset,$$

so that finally

$$\frac{v_{2n}^{(s)}}{v_{2n}^{(0)}} = (-1)^s \sum_{K\subseteq(1,\ldots,n),|K|\le s} \binom{n-|K|}{s-|K|} \frac{d_K}{d_\emptyset} \quad \text{with } d_K \text{ from (5.3).} \tag{5.4}$$

The determinants d_K may be evaluated by the double alternant formula (2.12) as

$$d_K = \frac{\prod\limits_{\substack{j<k\\ j,k\in K}} 2(j-k) \prod\limits_{\substack{j<k\\ j,k\notin K}} 2(k-j) \prod\limits_{\substack{j<k\\ j\in K,k\notin K}} 2(k+j) \prod\limits_{\substack{j<k\\ j\notin K,k\in K}} 2(-k-j) \prod\limits_{j<k} 2(k-j)}{\prod\limits_{j\in K,\text{ all } k} (-2j+2k-1) \prod\limits_{j\notin K,\text{ all } k} (2j+2k-1)}, \tag{5.5}$$

where all products run over the range $1 \le j,k \le n$ with the denoted restrictions. Using the notation (3.5) this reads

$$d_K = \frac{2^{2\binom{n}{2}}(-1)^{|\{j<k:k\in K,\text{ all } j\}|} \prod\limits_{j<k,j\equiv k(K)} (k-j) \prod\limits_{j<k,j\not\equiv k(K)} (k+j) \prod\limits_{j<k} (k-j)}{\prod\limits_{j\in K,\text{ all } k} (-2j+2k-1) \prod\limits_{j\notin K,\text{ all } k} (2j+2k-1)},$$

and, in particular,

$$d_\emptyset = \frac{2^{2\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{j<k}(k-j)^2}{\prod_{j,k}(2j+2k-1)},$$

so that the quotients fulfill

$$\frac{d_K}{d_\emptyset} = (-1)^{|\{j<k:k\in K,\text{ all } j\}|} \prod_{j<k,j\not\equiv k(K)} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \prod_{j\in K,\text{ all } k} \frac{2j+2k-1}{-2j+2k-1}. \tag{5.6}$$

For the first product in (5.6) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j<k,j\not\equiv k(K)} \frac{k+j}{k-j} &= \prod_{j<k,j\notin K,k\in K} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \prod_{j<k,j\in K,k\notin K} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \\
&= (-1)^{|\{k<j:j\notin K,k\in K\}|} \prod_{j<k,j\notin K,k\in K} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \prod_{k<j,j\notin K,k\in K} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \\
&= (-1)^{|\{k<j:j\notin K,k\in K\}|} \prod_{j\notin K,k\in K} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \qquad (5.7)\\
&= (-1)^{|\{k<j:j\notin K,k\in K\}|} \prod_{k\in K,\text{ all } j\ne k} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \prod_{j,k\in K,j\ne k} \frac{k-j}{k+j} \\
&= (-1)^{|\{k<j:j\notin K,k\in K\}|} \prod_{k\in K} \frac{(n+k)!}{k!2k} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \prod_{j,k\in K,j\ne k} \frac{k-j}{k+j},
\end{aligned}$$

while the second product in (5.6) satisfies

$$\prod_{j\in K,\text{ all }k}\frac{2j+2k-1}{-2j+2k-1}=\prod_{k\in K,\text{ all }j}\frac{2j+2k-1}{2j-2k-1}=\prod_{k\in K}\frac{(2n+2k-1)!!}{(2k-1)!!^2(2n-2k-1)!!}(-1)^k. \tag{5.8}$$

Therefore, inserting (5.7) and (5.8) in (5.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d_K}{d_\emptyset}=&(-1)^{|\{j<k:k\in K,\text{ all }j\}|+|\{k<j:j\notin K,k\in K\}|}\prod_{k\in K}\frac{(2n+2k)!2^{n-k}2^{2k-1}}{2^{n+k}(2n-2k)!(2k)!^2}(-1)^n\prod_{j,k\in K,j\neq k}\frac{k-j}{k+j}\\ =&(-1)^{|\{j<k:k\in K,\text{ all }j\}|+|\{k<j:j\notin K,k\in K\}|+|\{k<j:j,k\in K\}|}\\ &\times\prod_{k\in K}\frac{1}{2}\binom{2n+2k}{2n-2k,\ 2k,\ 2k}(-1)^n\prod_{j,k\in K,j<k}\frac{(k-j)^2}{(k+j)^2}\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}&|\{j<k:k\in K,\text{ all }j\}|+|\{k<j:j\notin K,k\in K\}|+|\{k<j:j,k\in K\}|\\ &\qquad=|\{j\neq k:k\in K,\text{ all }j\}|=|K|(n-1)\end{aligned}$$

equation (5.9) implies that

$$\frac{d_K}{d_\emptyset}=(-1)^{|K|}\prod_{k\in K}\frac{1}{2}\binom{2n+2k}{2n-2k,\ 2k,\ 2k}\prod_{j,k\in K,j<k}\frac{(k-j)^2}{(k+j)^2}. \tag{5.10}$$

Inserting (5.10) in (5.4) completes the proof of the first formula for the case of even degree in Theorem 5.1. The second formula follows by writing the trinomial coefficient as a product of two binomial coefficients (mind the factor $1/2$ in the product in (5.10)) and applying the double alternant formula (2.12) to express the last product by the determinant of the partial Hilbert matrix from the statement of the theorem.

Let us now turn to the case of odd degree $d=2n-1$. This time we use the matrices $(\tilde{c}_{j,k}(J))$ from Theorem 4.4. Again using the decomposition (5.2) with $x_j=2j$ and $y_k=2k-1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{v^{(s)}_{2n-1}}{2^{2n+s-1}}&=\frac{(-1)^s}{2^s\prod_{1\le k\le n}(2k-1)}\sum_{K\subseteq(1,\dots,n-1),|K|\le s}\binom{n-1-|K|}{s-|K|}e_K,\\ \frac{v^{(0)}_{2n-1}}{2^{2n-1}}&=\prod_{1\le k\le n}\frac{1}{2k-1}e_\emptyset,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$e_K=\det(e_{j,k}(K))\text{ with }e_{j,k}(K)=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{-2j+2k-1}, & \text{for } j\in J,\\ \frac{1}{2j+2k-1}, & \text{for } j\notin J,\ j\neq n,\\ 1, & \text{for } j=n.\end{cases} \tag{5.11}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{v^{(s)}_{2n-1}}{v^{(0)}_{2n-1}}=(-1)^s\sum_{K\subseteq(1,\dots,n-1),|K|\le s}\binom{n-1-|K|}{s-|K|}\frac{e_K}{e_\emptyset}\text{ with }e_K\text{ from (5.11).} \tag{5.12}$$

Evaluating the determinants with the variant (4.21) of the Cauchy double alternant formula yields

$$\begin{aligned}e_K&=\frac{\displaystyle\prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j,k\in K}}2(j-k)\prod_{\substack{1\le j<k\le n-1\\ j,k\notin K}}2(k-j)\prod_{\substack{1\le j<k\le n-1\\ j\in K,k\notin K}}2(k+j)\prod_{\substack{j<k\\ j\notin K,k\in K}}2(-j-k)\prod_{1\le j<k\le n}2(k-j)}{\displaystyle\prod_{j\in K,1\le k\le n}(-2j+2k-1)\prod_{1\le j\le n-1,j\notin K,1\le k\le n}(2j+2k-1)}\\ &=\frac{2^{\binom{n-1}{2}+\binom{n}{2}}(-1)^{|\{j<k:k\in K,\text{ all }j\}|}\displaystyle\prod_{1\le j<k\le n-1,j\equiv k(K)}(k-j)\prod_{1\le j<k\le n-1,j\not\equiv k(K)}(k+j)\prod_{1\le j<k\le n}(k-j)}{\displaystyle\prod_{j\in K,1\le k\le n}(-2j+2k-1)\prod_{j\notin K,1\le k\le n}(2j+2k-1)},\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

and, in particular,

$$e_\emptyset = \frac{2^{\binom{n-1}{2}+\binom{n}{2}} \prod\limits_{1\le j<k\le n}(k-j) \prod\limits_{1\le j<k\le n-1}(k-j)}{\prod\limits_{1\le j\le n-1,1\le k\le n}(2j+2k-1)}.$$

Therefore the quotients fulfill

$$\frac{e_K}{e_\emptyset} = (-1)^{|\{j<k:k\in K,\text{ all } j\}|} \prod_{j<k,j\notin k(K),1\le j,k\le n-1} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \prod_{j\in K,1\le k\le n} \frac{2j+2k-1}{-2j+2k-1}. \tag{5.14}$$

For the the first product in (5.14) we get from (5.7) by replacing n by $n-1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{j<k,j\notin k(K),1\le j,k\le n-1} \frac{k+j}{k-j} \\ &= (-1)^{|\{1\le k<j\le n-1:j\notin K,k\in K\}|} \prod_{k\in K} \frac{(n-1+k)!}{k!(2k)} \frac{(-1)^{n-1-k}}{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!} \prod_{j,k\in K,j\ne k} \frac{k-j}{k+j}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

whereas the second product in (5.6) equals the result of (5.8).

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e_K}{e_\emptyset} &= (-1)^{|K|} \prod_{k\in K} \frac{(2n+2k-1)!2^{n-k-1}2^{2k}}{2^{n+k-1}(2n-2k-1)!(2k)!^2 2} \prod_{j,k\in K,j<k} \frac{(k-j)^2}{(k+j)^2} \\ &= (-1)^{|K|} \prod_{k\in K} \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n-1+2k}{2n-1-2k,\ 2k,\ 2k} \prod_{j,k\in K,j<k} \frac{(k-j)^2}{(k+j)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Inserting the latter result into (5.12) completes the proof of the first formula for the case $d=2n-1$ in Theorem 5.1. The second formula follows again by applying the double alternant formula (2.12) to express the last product by the determinant of a partial Hilbert matrix. □

Remark 5.2. Using the second sum for $v_{2n}^{(s)}/2^{2n+s}$ in Theorem 4.4 yields the following alternative formula for the volume ratios in the case $d=2n$ for all $s\le n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_{2n}^{(s)}}{v_{2n}^{(0)}} &= \sum_{\substack{K\subseteq(1,\dots,n)\\|K|\le s}} \binom{n-|K|}{s-|K|} (-1)^{s-|K|} \\ &\qquad \cdot \left(\prod_{k\in K} \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n+2k-1}{2n-2k+1,\ 2k-1,\ 2k-1} \right) \prod_{j<k,j,k\in K} \frac{(k-j)^2}{(k+j-1)^2} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{K\subseteq(1,\dots,n)\\|K|\le s}} \binom{n-|K|}{s-|K|} (-1)^{s-|K|} \\ &\qquad \cdot \left(\prod_{k\in K} \binom{2n+2k-1}{4k-2} \binom{4k-3}{2k-1} (2k-1) \right) \det\left(\frac{1}{j+k-1} \right)_{j,k\in K}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

We end this section by showing that some results of Kirschenhofer and Weitzer [12] as well as Akiyama and Pethő [2] are simple consequences of Theorem 5.1. The first result refers to the instance of polynomials with exactly one pair of nonreal conjugate zeroes.

Corollary 5.3 (*cf.* [12])**.** *For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\frac{v_d^{(1)}}{v_d^{(0)}} = \frac{P_d(3)-2d-1}{4},$$

where P_d denotes the d-th Legendre polynomial.

Proof. From (5.1) with $s = 1$ we have

$$\frac{v_d^{(1)}}{v_d^{(0)}} = -\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{d+2k}{4k} \binom{4k-1}{2k-1}. \tag{5.18}$$

On the other hand the Legendre polynomials fulfill the classical formula ([16, p. 66])

$$P_d(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \binom{d+j}{2j} \binom{2j}{j} \left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)^j, \tag{5.19}$$

so that

$$P_d(3) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \binom{d+j}{2j} \binom{2j}{j}. \tag{5.20}$$

Furthermore, since (see *e.g.* [15, p. 158]) $P_d(-x) = (-1)^d P_d(x)$, we have

$$P_d(-1) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \binom{d+j}{2j} \binom{2j}{j} (-1)^j = (-1)^d P_d(1) = (-1)^d,$$

yielding

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le d,\, j \text{ odd}} \binom{d+j}{2j} \binom{2j}{j} = 1 - (-1)^d + \sum_{1 \le j \le d,\, j \text{ even}} \binom{d+j}{2j} \binom{2j}{j}.$$

Therefore, setting $j = 2k$ for even j, (5.20) rewrites as

$$P_d(3) - 2 + (-1)^d = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{d+2k}{4k} \binom{4k-1}{2k-1}, \tag{5.21}$$

so that finally

$$\frac{P_d(3) - 2d - 1}{4} = -\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \binom{d+2k}{4k} \binom{4k-1}{2k-1}, \tag{5.22}$$

which coincides with (5.18) from above. □

As in (1.1) let v_d denote the volume of the coefficient space $\mathcal{E}_d$ of the polynomials of degree d with all zeroes in the open unit disk. Summing up over all possible signatures in Theorem 5.1 we get the results on the quotients $v_d/v_d^{(0)}$ contained in [2, Equation (9)].

Corollary 5.4 (*cf.* [2, Equation (9)])**.** *For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\frac{v_d}{v_d^{(0)}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{j=n+1}^{2n} \binom{2j}{j} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2j}{j}^{-1}, & \text{for } d = 2n, \\ \\ \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{j=n}^{2n-1} \binom{2j}{j} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2j}{j}^{-1}, & \text{for } d = 2n-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let

$$p_d(K) := \prod_{k \in K} \binom{d+2k}{4k} \binom{4k-1}{2k-1} \prod_{j<k,j,k \in K} \frac{(k-j)^2}{(k+j)^2}. \tag{5.23}$$

Then by equation (5.1) in Theorem 5.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_d}{v_d^{(0)}} &= \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \frac{v_d^{(s)}}{v_d^{(0)}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \sum_{K \subseteq (1,\dots,\lfloor d/2 \rfloor), |K| \le s} (-1)^{s-|K|} \binom{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor - |K|}{s-|K|} p_d(K) \\ &= \sum_{K \subseteq (1,\dots,\lfloor d/2 \rfloor)} p_d(K) \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor - |K|} \binom{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor - |K|}{k} (-1)^k = \sum_{K \subseteq (1,\dots,\lfloor d/2 \rfloor)} p_d(K) \delta_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor, |K|} \\ &= p_d(1, \dots, \lfloor d/2 \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.23) this yields

$$\frac{v_d}{v_d^{(0)}} = \prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor d/2\rfloor} \frac{(d+2k)!}{(4k)!(d-2k)!}\frac{(4k-1)!}{(2k-1)!(2k)!}\frac{(k-1)!^2k!^2}{(2k-1)!^2} = \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor d/2\rfloor}}\prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor d/2\rfloor}\frac{(d+2k)!(k-1)!^2k!^2}{(d-2k)!(2k)!^2(2k-1)!^2}.$$

For $d=2n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{v_{2n}}{v_{2n}^{(0)}} &= \frac{1}{2^n}\frac{\prod_{k=n+1}^{2n}(2k)!}{\prod_{k=1}^{n}(2k-2)!(2k)!^2}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(k-1)!^2k!^2}{(2k-1)!^2} = \frac{1}{2^n}\prod_{k=1}^{2n}\frac{(2k-1)!}{(k-1)!^2}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(k-1)!^4}{(2k-1)!^2}\\ &= \frac{1}{2^n}\prod_{j=n+1}^{2n}\binom{2j}{j}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\binom{2j}{j}^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

whereas for $d=2n-1$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{v_{2n-1}}{v_{2n-1}^{(0)}} &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\frac{\prod_{k=n+1}^{2n-1}(2k-1)!}{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(2k-1)!(2k)!^2}\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{(k-1)!^2k!^2}{(2k-1)!^2} == \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{2n-1}(2k)!}{2^{2n-1}\prod_{k=1}^{2n-1}k!^2}\cdot 2^{2n-2}\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{k!^4}{(2k)!^2}\\ &= \frac{1}{2^n}\prod_{j=n}^{2n-1}\binom{2j}{j}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\binom{2j}{j}^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. □

6. Integrality of the volume ratios

In this section we establish Theorem 6.1, our main result, which confirms Conjecture 1.1. This theorem also contains alternative formulæ for the ratios $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$.

Theorem 6.1. *The ratios $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$ of the volumes $v_d^{(s)}$ from (1.2) are integers for all $d\in\mathbb{N}, s\le d/2$. They are given by the alternative formulæ*

$$\frac{v_d^{(s)}}{v_d^{(0)}} = \sum_{\substack{K\subseteq(1,\dots,\lfloor d/2\rfloor)\\ |K|\le s}}\binom{\lfloor d/2\rfloor - |K|}{s-|K|}(-1)^{s-|K|}\det(a_{j,k}^{1/2}(d))_{j,k\in K} \tag{6.1}$$

with

$$a_{j,k}(d) = \binom{d+2j}{2j+2k}\binom{d+2k}{2j+2k}\binom{2j+2k-1}{2j-1}\binom{2j+2k-1}{2k-1}. \tag{6.2}$$

Here $x^{1/2}$ denotes the positive root of $x>0$.

Remark 6.2. Observe the similarity between the expressions $a_{j,k}(d)$ and the entries of the inverse Hilbert matrix, see *e.g.* [6].

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 5.4 we have

$$\frac{v_d^{(s)}}{v_d^{(0)}} = \sum_{K\subseteq(1,\dots,\lfloor d/2\rfloor),|K|\le s}(-1)^{s-|K|}\binom{\lfloor d/2\rfloor - |K|}{s-|K|}p_d(K), \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$p_d(K) = \left(\prod_{k\in K}c_d(k)\right)\det\left(\frac{1}{j+k}\right)_{j,k\in K} \tag{6.4}$$

with

$$c_d(k) = \frac{1}{2}\binom{d+2k}{d-2k,\ 2k,\ 2k}2k. \tag{6.5}$$

Using the definition of the determinant as sum of signed products we have

$$p_d(K) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_K} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j\in K} c_d(j)\frac{1}{j+\sigma(j)} = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_K} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \left(\prod_{j\in K} \frac{c_d(j)c_d(\sigma(j))}{(j+\sigma(j))^2}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{6.6}$$

But now

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c_d(j)c_d(k)}{(j+k)^2} &= \frac{1}{(j+k)^2}\frac{(d+2j)!2j}{2(d-2j)!(2j)!^2}\frac{(d+2k)!2k}{2(d-2k)!(2k)!^2}\\ &= \frac{1}{(j+k)^2}\frac{1}{4}\frac{(d+2j)!}{(d-2k)!(2j+2k)!}\frac{(d+2k)!}{(d-2j)!(2j+2k)!}\frac{(2j+2k)!^2}{(2j-1)!(2k-1)!(2j)!(2k)!}\\ &= a_{j,k}(d),\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

which is a product of binomial coefficients and therefore an integer for all $j,k\in K$. Thus

$$\prod_{j\in K} c_d(j)\frac{1}{j+\sigma(j)} = \left(\prod_{j\in K} \frac{c_d(j)c_d(\sigma(j))}{(j+\sigma(j))^2}\right)^{1/2} = \prod_{j\in K} a_{j,\sigma(j)}^{1/2}(d) \tag{6.8}$$

is a rational number, which is the square root of an integer, and therefore has to be an integer by itself. This proves that $p_d(K)$ and therefore the quotients $v_d^{(s)}/v_d^{(0)}$ are integers. Moreover, from (6.8) we immediately get (6.1). □

Remark 6.3. If we start from formula (5.17) we get for the instance $d=2n$ the alternative formula

$$\frac{v_{2n}^{(s)}}{v_{2n}^{(0)}} = \sum_{\substack{K\subseteq(1,\dots,n)\\ |K|\le s}} \binom{n-|K|}{s-|K|}(-1)^{s-|K|}\det(a'^{1/2}_{j,k}(2n))_{j,k\in K} \tag{6.9}$$

with

$$a'_{j,k}(2n) = \binom{2n+2j-1}{2j+2k-2}\binom{2n+2k-1}{2j+2k-2}\binom{2j+2k-3}{2j-2}\binom{2j+2k-3}{2k-2}. \tag{6.10}$$

While for $s=1$ Theorem 5.1 immediately yields expressions for the ratios $v_d^{(1)}/v_d^{(0)}$ as sums of integers, compare equation (5.18), the last theorem allows to give formulæ of this type for the instance $s=2$, too.

Corollary 6.4. *For $s=2$ pairs of (nonreal) complex conjugate roots we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{v_d^{(2)}}{v_d^{(0)}} = \binom{\lfloor d/2\rfloor}{2} - (\lfloor d/2\rfloor - 1)\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor d/2\rfloor} a_k(d) + \sum_{1\le j<k\le\lfloor d/2\rfloor}(a_j(d)a_k(d) - a_{j,k}(d)),\ \textit{with}\\ &a_\ell(d) = \binom{d+2\ell}{4\ell}\binom{4\ell-1}{2\ell-1}\ \textit{and}\ a_{j,k}(d)\ \textit{from}\ (6.2).\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Remark 6.5. In his PhD thesis Weitzer [21, Theorem 2.6.6] gave a quite involved formula for the volumes $v_d^{(2)}$. However, this formula does not yield the integrality of the ratios $v_d^{(2)}/v_d^{(0)}$.

Proof. Formula (6.11) follows from (6.1) by observing that $a_k(d) = a_{k,k}^{1/2}(d)$ and $a_{j,k}(d) = a_{k,j}(d)$. □

The integrality of the ratios $v_d/v_d^{(0)}$ is established in [2] by a careful analysis of the divisors of the numerators and denominators of the fractions occurring in Corollary 5.4. Of course, the integrality is now immediate from Theorem 6.1 and, furthermore, we gain the following alternative expressions for the ratios in consideration.

Corollary 6.6. *The ratios $v_d/v_d^{(0)}$ of the volumes from Corollary 5.4 are integers and are given by the alternative formula, with $a_{j,k}(d)$ from Theorem 6.1,*

$$\frac{v_d}{v_d^{(0)}} = \det(a_{j,k}^{1/2}(d))_{1\le j,k\le\lfloor d/2\rfloor}. \tag{6.12}$$

Proof. From the proof of Corollary 5.4, and by (6.6) and (6.7), we have

$$\frac{v_d}{v_d^{(0)}} = p_d(1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor d/2 \rfloor) = \det(a_{j,k}^{1/2}(d))_{1 \le j,k \le \lfloor d/2 \rfloor}. \qquad \square$$

References

[1] S. Akiyama, T. Borbély, H. Brunotte, A. Pethő, and J. M. Thuswaldner, *Generalized radix representations and dynamical systems. I*, Acta Math. Hungar., 108 (2005), pp. 207–238.

[2] S. Akiyama and A. Pethő, *On the distribution of polynomials with bounded roots, I. Polynomials with real coefficients*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 66 (2014), pp. 927–949.

[3] ———, *On the distribution of polynomials with bounded roots II. Polynomials with integer coefficients*, Unif. Distrib. Theory, 9 (2014), pp. 5–19.

[4] A. Alastuey and B. Jancovici, *On the classical two-dimensional one-component Coulomb plasma*, Journal de Physique, 42 (1981), pp. 1–12.

[5] K. Aomoto, *Jacobi polynomials associated with Selberg integrals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18 (1987), pp. 545–549.

[6] M. D. Choi, *Tricks or treats with the Hilbert matrix*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 90 (1983), pp. 301–312.

[7] A. Cohn, *Über die Anzahl der Wurzeln einer algebraischen Gleichung in einem Kreise*, Math. Z., 14 (1922), pp. 110–148.

[8] A. T. Fam, *The volume of the coefficient space stability domain of monic polynomials*, Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Circuits and Systems, 2 (1989), pp. 1780–1783.

[9] A. T. Fam and J. S. Meditch, *A canonical parameter space for linear systems design*, IEEE Trans. Autom. Control, 23 (1978), pp. 454–458.

[10] P. J. Forrester, *Log-gases and random matrices*, vol. 34 of London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010.

[11] P. Kirschenhofer and J. M. Thuswaldner, *Shift radix systems—a survey*, in Numeration and Substitution 2012, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B46, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2014, pp. 1–59.

[12] P. Kirschenhofer and M. Weitzer, *A number theoretic problem on the distribution of polynomials with bounded roots*, Integers, 15, # A10 (2015).

[13] C. Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus*, in The Andrews Festschrift: Seventeen Papers on Classical Number Theory and Combinatorics, D. Foata and G.-N. Han, eds., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001, pp. 349–426.

[14] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Analytic theory of polynomials*, vol. 26 of London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.

[15] E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, The Macmillan Company, New York, 1960.

[16] J. Riordan, *Combinatorial identities*, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.

[17] J. Schur, *Über Potenzreihen, die im Innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind. I*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 147 (1917), pp. 205–232.

[18] ———, *Über Potenzreihen, die im Innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 148 (1918), pp. 122–145.

[19] A. Selberg, *Remarks on a multiple integral*, Norsk Mat. Tidsskr., 26 (1944), pp. 71–78.

[20] J. Todd, *Computational problems concerning the Hilbert matrix*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B, 65B (1961), pp. 19–22.

[21] M. Weitzer, *Shift Radix Systems and Their Generalizations*, PhD thesis, Montanuniversität Leoben, 2015.

Chair of Mathematics and Statistics, Montanuniversität Leoben, Franz Josef-Strasse 18, A-8700 Leoben, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: Peter.Kirschenhofer@unileoben.ac.at, Joerg.Thuswaldner@unileoben.ac.at